Karl W. Lange, D.M.D. Steven J. Rider, D.M.D. Billy D. Reynolds, D.M.D.

General Dentistry

1636 Nicholasville Road
Lexington, Kentucky 40503-1425

Office: (859) 278-0576
Fax: (859) 276-2473
LRandR.com

FAX COVER SHEET

TO: Commissioners DATE: 11/14/12

Kentucky Public Service Commission TIME: 9:12 am

FAX NO. (502) 564-3460

RECEIVED
NOV 14 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FROM: Carrie Humble

REMARKS:

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET): 10

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CALL (859) 278-0576

Karl W. Lange, D.M.D. kwldmd@aol.com
Steven J. Rider, D.M.D. riderdmd@aol.com
Billy D. Reynolds, D.M.D. billyddmd@aol.com

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Janice Cotton

(Please print clearly) Name Janice Cotton

Address 694 Brookgreen Lane
Lexington, KY 40509

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Rosemary L Kirk

(Please print clearly)

Name Rosemary & Roger Kirk

Address 1901 Port Royal Ct
Lexington, Ky 40504

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Dawn R. Curtis

(Please print clearly)

Name Dawn R. Curtis

Address 4296 Clemens Dr.
Lexington, KY 40514

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature H J Mullins

(Please print clearly)

Name Heather Mullins

Address 1512 Locust Hill Dr. #416
Lexington, Ky 40517

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Carla L. Caldwell

(Please print clearly)

Name Carla L. Caldwell

Address 3700 Katie Ct.
Lex 40517

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Steven Rider

(Please print clearly) Name STEVEN RIDER

Address 1037 TURKEY FOOT
LEXINGTON KY 40502

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Carrie Humble

(Please print clearly)

Name Carrie Humble

Address 3376 Hibernia Pass
Lex, Ky 40509

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Kathy Smith

(Please print clearly) Name Kathy Smith

Address 500 Lakeflower #77
Lexington, Ky 40502

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00221 – Opposition to **Kentucky Utilities'** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from $8.50 to $13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents). This follows a 70% increase in 2010, from $5.00 to $8.50.

Any rate increase should be put on the kilowatt-hour, not the monthly service charge. KU enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge to ensure adequate revenues. Increasing the monthly service charge instead of the kilowatt-hour:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. – the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed structure is bad public policy. A public utility with monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Rhonda Archbold

(Please print clearly) Name Rhonda Archbold

Address 248 Chenault Rd.
Lexington, Ky. 40502